UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,227	09/20/2005	Peter Graham Richardson	05-769	7674	
	7590 08/04/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER			
300 S. WACKE 32ND FLOOR	ER DRIVE	LIU, HARRY K			
CHICAGO, IL	60606	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3662		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/550,227	RICHARDSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HARRY LIU	3662	

After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HARRY LIU	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence add	dress			
The reply filed <u>17 July 2008</u> is acknowledged.						
. The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered because:						
a. The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).						
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).						
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.						
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).						
3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	f the claims after entry is below o	r attached.				
4. Other:						
/Thomas H. Tarcza/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662	Harry Liu Examiner, Art Unit 3662					